arXiv:1602.08339v2 [physics.gen-ph] 11 Mar 2016

Oscillating Flavors in Massless Neutrinos

Lester C. Welch
Aiken, SC 29803
lester.welch@gmail.com

By considering Dirac's equation using quaternions ($\mathbb{H}$) with their greater degree of freedom in imaginaries, it is shown that a model can be created with oscillations among flavors, even if the particles are massless. Furthermore the solutions are spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and have helicities depending on whether their energy is positive or negative.

1 Introduction

To give a short review to set the context, using only complex numbers ($\mathbb{C}$) and following Schiff's [1] notation and representation, Dirac's equation for a massless particle is ($\hbar = c = 1$):

$$\left(i\partial_t - i\boldsymbol{\alpha_c} \cdot \bigtriangledown\right)|\psi >= 0 \tag{1}$$

where the elements of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_c \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\bigtriangledown = \partial_x + \partial_y + \partial_z$$

One representation for $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_c$ is given in Appendix **A**. Equation (1) has four independent solutions corresponding to positive and negative energy having spin up or spin down:

$$|\psi^{\pm}_{+-} >= u^{\pm}_{+-} \exp^{i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)} \tag{2}$$

where, for example,

$$u^{+}_{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_z}{E} \\ -\frac{(p_x + ip_y)}{E} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Note, in general, that $u^{-1} = (u^*)^T$.

2 Quaternion Solution

Welch [2] has explored Dirac's equation for massive charged particles and showed that charges $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ result with a minimum of assumptions using quaternions (see Appendix **B**). Defining q to be a constant unit imaginary quaternion (see Appendix **B**) the transition to quaternions could trivially and unproductively follow the complex analysis exactly because any unit imaginary quaternion, q_i, is isomorphic to $i \in \mathbb{C}$. Equation (2) becomes

$$|\psi_q >\equiv |\psi^{\pm}_{q+-} >= u^{\pm}_{q+-} \exp^{q_i(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)}$$

where, for example, equation(3) becomes

$$u^{+}_{q+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_z}{E} \\ -\frac{(p_x + q_i p_y)}{E} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

However, we wish to explore the ramifications possible due to the increased flexibility of quaternions, thus repeating the derivation in $\mathbb{H}$ that is done in $\mathbb{C}$ with equation(1) in the form, in which no imaginary unit from $\in \mathbb{C}$ appears

$$\left(\partial_t - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \bigtriangledown\right) |\psi_q >= 0 \tag{4}$$

with the elements of $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$. Requiring that $|\psi_q >$ also be a solution to Klein-Gordon's relativistic equation for a massless particle, i.e.,

$$(\partial_t^2 - \bigtriangledown^2)|\psi_q >= 0$$

leads to the necessity that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ be a 2 x 2 matrix and obey the commutative relationships:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_x^2 = \alpha_y^2 = \alpha_z^2 &= 1 \\ \alpha_x\alpha_y + \alpha_y\alpha_x = \alpha_y\alpha_z + \alpha_z\alpha_y = \alpha_z\alpha_x + \alpha_x\alpha_z &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

One such quaternion representation of Dirac's $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is given in Appendix **A**. Thus equation (4) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\partial_x \\ -i\partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j\partial_y \\ -j\partial_y & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k\partial_z \\ -k\partial_z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\psi_{q1}> \\ |\psi_{q2}> \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -i\partial_x - j\partial_y - k\partial_z \\ i\partial_x + j\partial_y + k\partial_z & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\psi_{q1}> \\ |\psi_{q2}> \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{5}$$

Let us use as a trial solution:[1]

$$|\psi_q >= u \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et))}$$

Thus equation (5) can be written:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -i\partial_x - j\partial_y - k\partial_z \\ i\partial_x + j\partial_y + k\partial_z & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)} = 0 \tag{6}$$

[1] Given the non-commutative nature of quaternions, an equally valid trial solution, not pursued herein, is

$$|\psi_q >= \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)}\, u^R$$

which has the general solutions (see Appendix **C**).

$$\begin{aligned} u_2^* u_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \\ u_1 &= \frac{-u_2(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{E} \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$u_1^* u_2 = \frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{E} u_2^* u_2 = \frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{2E}$$

If the "spin up" state is the positive energy solution then the "spin down" state is the negative energy solution - i.e., the anti-neutrino, as is seen experimentally. Having only two spin states is indicative of a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system. Thus the orthonormal solutions are

$$u_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{E} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad u_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{|E|} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$|\psi_q> = N_+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{E} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)} + N_- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})}{|E|} \end{pmatrix} \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)}$$

$|\psi_q>$, which has no specific lepton flavor and the most general solution, will be denoted as the "q-neutrino" and is the eigenstate of equation(6). and can be written

$$|\psi_q> = N_+ |\psi_{q+}> + N_- |\psi_{q-}>$$

where $|\psi_{q+}>$ and $|\psi_{q-}>$ are the basis vectors of the Hamiltonian as defined by equation (4). The normalizing factors N_+ and N_- obey

$$N_+^2 + N_-^2 = 1$$

and are chosen to $\in \mathbb{R}$. It is straight forward to show:

$$\begin{aligned} <\psi_{q+}|\psi_{q+}> = <\psi_{q-}|\psi_{q-}> &= 1 \\ <\psi_{q-}|\psi_{q+}> = <\psi_{q+}|\psi_{q-}> &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

3 Lepton Flavors

Looking at the positive energy state,

$$|\psi_{q+}> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{E}(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)}$$

One can expand $|\psi_{q+}>$ using the basis of $\mathbb{H}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi_{q+}> &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{E}(ap_x + bp_y + cp_z)\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \\ \\ \cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_x}{E}\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) - \frac{(cp_y - bp_z)}{E}\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \\ \\ a\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{j}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_y}{E}\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) - \frac{(ap_z - cp_x)}{E}\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \\ \\ b\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_z}{E}\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) - \frac{(bp_x - ap_y)}{E}\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \\ \\ c\sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

or

$$
|\psi_{q+}> = |r_+> + i|e_+> + j|\mu_+> + k|\tau_+>
$$

where an association has been explicitly been made between the lepton flavors and the imaginary bases of quaternions and similarly for $|\psi_{q-}>$

Note that this equation is invariant under the simultaneous permutations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \to j \to k \to i \\
p_x \to p_y \to p_z \to p_x \\
a \to b \to c \to a
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there is no preferred spatial direction.

4 Inner Product

Before we explore the ramifications of this formulation the issue of the definition of "inner product" of Hilbert vectors A and B $(= A \cdot B)$ must be addressed. One mathematical requirement of an "inner product" is that it is commutative, i.e.,

$$
A \cdot B = B \cdot A \tag{8}
$$

This clearly is not a problem in $\mathbb{C}$, a commutative algebra, however in $\mathbb{H}$, a non-commutative algebra, more care has to be taken since, in general, $A \cdot B \neq B \cdot A$. The definition used herein is adopted from that used in the special Jordan algebras, i.e.,

$$A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(A \cdot B + B \cdot A). \tag{9}$$

Clearly in $\mathbb{C}$ equation (9) reduces to equation (8) and thus ordinary quantum mechanics would not be affected by such a generalization. We will extent this change of definition to the bra-key notation, i.e., the $< A|$ and $|B >$ notation. The bra, $< A|$, is the Hermitian conjugate of the ket $|A >$. So, by definition,

$$< B| \circ |A >= \frac{1}{2}(< B|A > + < A|B >)$$

Using this generalization, it is easy to see from equation (7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
< r| \circ |r > &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(ap_x + bp_y + cp_z)^2}{E^2}\sin^2(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + \cos^2((\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right) > 0 \\
< r| \circ |e > &= < r| \circ |\mu >=< r| \circ |\tau >= 0 \\
< e| \circ |e > &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{p_x}{E}\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + \frac{(cp_y - bp_z)}{E}\sin((\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right)^2 + a^2\sin^2(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right] > 0 \\
< e| \circ |\mu > &= < e| \circ |\tau >=< e| \circ |r >= 0 \\
< \mu| \circ |\mu > &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{p_y}{E}\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + \frac{(ap_z - cp_x)}{E}\sin((\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right)^2 + b^2\sin^2(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right] > 0 \\
< \mu| \circ |\tau > &= < \mu| \circ |e >=< \mu| \circ |r >= 0 \\
< \tau| \circ |\tau > &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{p_z}{E}\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + \frac{(bp_x - ap_y)}{E}\sin((\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right)^2 + c^2\sin^2(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)\right] > 0
\end{aligned}$$

5 Flavor Oscillation

Oscillation among lepton flavors is well established [3] and the only mechanism theoretically possible in $\mathbb{C}$ is if the neutrinos have mass. A plane wave, for a free particle has the form ($i \in \mathbb{C}$)

$$|\psi >= \exp^{i(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - Et)}$$

So for a given direction, the only distinguishing parameter of different plane waves is the energy, E. However, in $\mathbb{H}$, one can have distinct plane waves with the same energy, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_1 >= \exp^{q_1(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - Et)} \\
|\psi_2 >= \exp^{q_2(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} - Et)}
\end{aligned}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are differing unit imaginary quaternions and $(i, j, k \in \mathbb{H})$,

$$q_1 = a_1 i + b_1 j + c_1 k$$
$$q_2 = a_2 i + b_2 j + c_2 k$$

and

$$q_1 * q_1 = q_2 * q_2 = -1$$

5.1 In $\mathbb{C}$

To illustrate simply the consequences of this difference between $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, we follow the example of Casper [4], a member of the "Super-Kamiokande" Collaboration [3], who derives the requirement of a mass difference within $\mathbb{C}$.

An outline of the argument is: Let $|\nu_1>$ and $|\nu_2>$ be neutrino eigenstates of mass, m_1 and m_2, respectively. The time evolution, for a free particle, by Schrodinger's equation is:

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_1(\vec{x},t)> \\ |\nu_2(\vec{x},t)> \end{pmatrix} &= \exp^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \begin{pmatrix} \exp^{-iE_1 t}|\nu_1(0,0)> \\ \exp^{-iE_2 t}|\nu_2(0,0)> \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \exp^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \begin{pmatrix} \exp^{-iE_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & \exp^{-iE_2 t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_1(0,0)> \\ |\nu_2(0,0)> \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

The mass eigenstates can also be expressed in terms of flavor eigenstates (only two flavors are considered for simplicity) as:

$$|\nu_m >= \alpha|\nu_e > +\beta|\nu_\mu >$$

where $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta) = 1$. This can be written:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_1> \\ |\nu_2> \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_e> \\ |\nu_\mu> \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_e(\vec{x},t)> \\ |\nu_\mu(\vec{x},t)> \end{pmatrix} = \exp^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \exp^{-iE_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & \exp^{-iE_2 t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_e(0)> \\ |\nu_\mu(0)> \end{pmatrix}$$

If we start with all electron neutrinos:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_e(0)> \\ |\nu_\mu(0)> \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This leads to

$$< \nu_\mu(\vec{x},t)|\nu_\mu(\vec{x},t) > \quad = \quad \sin^2(2\theta)\sin^2\frac{(E_2 - E_1)t}{2}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 - E_1 &\approx \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2p} \\ t &\approx |\vec{x}| \equiv L \\ p &\approx E \end{aligned}$$

$$P(\nu_e \to \nu_\mu) = \sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2(\frac{\Delta m^2}{4} \frac{L}{E})$$

5.2 In $\mathbb{H}$

Let's examine the time evolution of the electron neutrino, $|e>$, as given in equation (7).

$$\begin{aligned} |e(\vec{x},t)> &= \exp^{\hat{q}((\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-Et)} |e(0,0)> \\ (\hat{q} &= \hat{a}i + \hat{b}j + \hat{c}k \text{ is a unit imaginary quaternion.}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|e(\vec{x},t)> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + (\hat{a}i + \hat{b}j + \hat{c}k) \sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \Big) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_x}{E} \cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) - \frac{(cp_y - bp_z)}{E} \sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \\ a \sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &< \mu(\vec{x},t)| \circ |e(\vec{x},t)> = \frac{\hat{b}}{2} \sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \\ &\Big[\Big(\frac{p_y}{E} \cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + \frac{(ap_z - cp_x)}{E} \sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \Big) \Big(\frac{p_x}{E} \cos(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) + \frac{(cp_y - bp_z)}{E} \sin(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \Big) \\ &\qquad + ab \sin^2(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et) \Big] \end{aligned}$$

Clearly showing that even though, at $t = 0, \quad < \mu| \circ |e >= 0$ the μ neutrino appears as time evolves.

6 Sterile Neutrinos

The $|r>$ neutrinos from equation (7) have no lepton flavor and are tentatively identified as "sterile neutrinos," however they have the same helicity as the corresponding flavored neutrinos.

7 Conclusions

The primary objective, showing that a formalism does exist wherein neutrinos can oscillate in flavor without having mass, has been demonstrated. The neutrinos in this model are spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and have only one helicity. This model also allows for a flavorless fourth type of neutrino.

The choice for the magnitudes of the three imaginaries, e.g., a, b, c seems to be arbitrary as long as the consistency with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ is maintained. The ubiquity of the combination $(\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p})$ fuels speculation that to be Lorentz invariant, the i, j, k have to be on equal footing and thus $a = b = c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Appendix A: Dirac's Matrices

The representation given by Schiff [1] is: In $\mathbb{C}$

$$\alpha_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in $\mathbb{H}$, as used by Rotelli [5]

$$\alpha_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ -k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Appendix B: Quaternions

Quaternions, $\mathbb{H}$, are one of only three ($\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$) finite-dimensional division rings[2] containing the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ as a subring - a requirement to preserve probability in quantum mechanics. Quaternion quantum mechanics has been extensively studied and Adler [6] has written the definitive reference. $\mathbb{H}$ can be loosely viewed as a non-commutative extension of $\mathbb{C}$. The imaginary quaternion units, i, j, k are defined by

$$ii = jj = kk = -1$$

$$ij = -ji = k, \qquad ki = -ik = j, \qquad jk = -kj = i$$

A general quaternion q can be written

$$q = r + ai + bj + ck$$

where

$$r,a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Every non-zero quaternion has an inverse.

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1} &= \frac{q^*}{(r^2 + a^2 + b^2 + c^2)} \\ \text{Therefore } q^*q &= (r^2 + a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Quaternion addition is associative: $q_1 + (q_2 + q_3) = (q_1 + q_2) + q_3$ - and defined as

$$q_1 + q_2 = r_1 + r_2 + (a_1 + a_2)i + (b_1 + b_2)j + (c_1 + c_2)k$$

and quaternion multiplication (paying heed to the non-commutative nature of the imaginary units) is

$$\begin{aligned} q_1q_2 &= (r_1r_2 - a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 - c_1c_2) \\ &+ (r_1a_2 + b_1c_2 + b_1c_2 - c_1b_2)i \\ &+ (r_1b_2 - a_1c_2 + b_1r_2 + c_1a_2)j \\ &+ (r_1c_2 + a_1b_2 - b_1a_2 + c_1r_2)k \end{aligned}$$

Quaternions are associative under multiplication $(q_1q_2)q_3 = q_1(q_2q_3)$. A unit imaginary quaternion q_ι is defined as

$$q_\iota = ai + bj + ck$$

$$(\text{ i.e., } r_i = 0 \text{ })$$

where $q_\iota^2 = -1$, which means $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$.

[2] A field is a commutative division ring. A ring is an algebraic structure which generalizes the algebraic properties of the integers and contains two operations usually called addition and multiplication. One example of a field is the familiar complex numbers. A division ring allows for division (except by zero). Every field is a ring but non-commutative rings are not fields.

It should be noted that many algebraic identities in $\mathbb{C}$ are false in a non-commutative algebra. For example:

$$\exp^{i\omega} \exp^{j\omega} \neq \exp^{(i+j)\omega}$$

.However Euler's formula is valid:

$$\exp^{q\omega} = \cos\omega + q\sin\omega$$

The notation for division

$$q_3 = \frac{q_1}{q_2}$$

is ambiguous (on which side of q_1 does q_2^{-1} go?) and should not be used.

Often useful are the identities:

$$(q_1 q_2)^{-1} = q_2^{-1} q_1^{-1}$$

$$(q_1 q_2)^{*} = q_2^{*} q_1^{*}$$

It should also be noted that if i refers to the i of $\mathbb{C}$ rather than of $\mathbb{H}$ it will be specifically indicated. It is also often convenient to represent i, j, k as components of a 3-vector $\epsilon = (i, j, k)$.

Appendix C: Solving for u

Assuming that $u_1, u_2 \neq$ a function of x, y, z, t and starting with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -i\partial_x - j\partial_y - k\partial_z \\ i\partial_x + j\partial_y + k\partial_z & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} - Et)} = 0$$

leads to [3]

$$\begin{aligned} [(u_1\partial_t - u_2(i\partial_x + j\partial_y + k\partial_z)] \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-Et)} &= 0 \\ [u_1(i\partial_x + j\partial_y + k\partial_z) + u_2\partial_t] \exp^{q(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-Et)} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

These equations have a solution only if the determinant is zero, therefore, (as in $\mathbb{C}$)

$$E^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2$$

and we have both E_+ and E_- solutions. From equation (C.1)

$$\begin{aligned} -u_1 qE - u_2(\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p})q &= 0 \\ -u_1 E - u_2(\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}) &= 0 \\ u_{1+} = u_1 = -\frac{u_{2+}}{E_+}(\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}) & \\ u_{1-} = \frac{u_{2-}}{|E_-|}(\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}) & \end{aligned}$$

From normalization requirements

$$u_1^* u_1 + u_2^* u_2 = 1$$

Thus

$$\frac{(\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p})}{E} u_2^* u_2 \frac{(-\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p})}{E} + u_2^* u_2 = 1$$

and $u_2^* u_2$ must be real and therefore commutes with any quaternion leading to

$$2u_2^* u_2 = 1$$

so $u_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ to within an arbitrary phase.

[3] Frequent use will be made of $(\frac{\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}}{E})^{-1} = -(\frac{\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}}{E})$ and $(\frac{\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}}{E})(\frac{\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{p}}{E}) = -1)$

References

[1] Leonard I. Schiff. *Quantum Mechanics*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 2nd edition, 1955.

[2] Lester C. Welch. Colored and Quaternion Dirac Particles of Charges 2/3 and -1/3. *arXiv*, 0809.0484, (2008).

[3] Y. Fukuda et. al. Evidence for oscillations of atmospheric neutrinos. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81, 1998.

[4] Dave Casper. Neutrino oscillation, mathematical derivation. `http://www.ps.uci.edu/~superk/oscmath1.html`. Accessed: 2016-02-09.

[5] P. Rotelli. The dirac equation on the quaternion field. *Mod. Phys. Lett*, A4, 1989.

[6] Stephen L. Adler. *Quaternion Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields*. Oxford University Press, 1995.